THE PROGRAM IN PSYCHIATRY AND THE LAW

Massachusetts Mental Health Center 74 Fenwood Road Boston, MA 02115-6196 (617) 734-1300, Extension 476

New Mooring Aldridge,

1. Ch.B. = M.D.

1. Harvard Street, #19A,

bridge, MA 02138.

1) 547-7514.

bastime@aol.com

oria Alexander orham Avenue okline, MA 02146 1) 277-5593

ul Appelbaum, M.D.
partment of Psychiatry
iversity of Massachusetts
Lake Avenue, North
ircester, MA 01695
18) -856-3983

Hampshire Avenue aron, MA 02067 88) 784-4238

izabeth (Beth) Banov 01 Beacon Street, #305 tookline. MA 02146 17) 232-0804 MHC, Extension 136

Imphen Behnke, J.D., Ph.D.
IMHC - Extension 434
IWare Street, #404
Imbridge, MA 02138
IM-4135

dam Bemporad J.D. (May) 125 Lowell Avenue Newton, MA 02160 185-2037 Brenda Bemporad, Ph.D. 125 Lowell Avenue Newton, MA 02160 (617) 965-2037 Email bemporad@bu.edu Fax (617) 244-7995

Marilyn Berner, J.D., LISCW 27 Kinnzird Street Cambridge, MA 02139 (617) 354-7324 (H) (617) 661-5988 (Practice)

Rex Birkmire, M.D. 10 Lynncrest Road Sharon, M7-02067 -1-617-784-9531 (H) 1-617-784-8482 Fax 673-2485 (Beeper)

Archie Brodsky, B.A.
11 Royce Road, #39
Aliston, MA 02134
(617) 731-0946
Abrodsky@
warren.med.harvard.edu
Archie@tiac.net

Phillip Brown, Ph.D. 4 Goodman Road Cambridge, MA 02139 (617) 354-6138 (H)

Beth Brownlow, M.D. 414 Powder Mill Road Concord, MA 01742 (508) 369-6645

Harold J. Bursziajn, M.D.
96 Larchwood Drive
Cambridge, MA 02138
(617) 492-8366
(617) 441-3195
burszt@warren.med.harvard.edu

Suzanne Canning, M.D. 1090 S. Milledge Avenue Athens, GA 30605 (404) 548-4563 (404) 543-3824

Benzion Chanowitz, M.D.
Department of Psychology
Brooklyn College
Bedford Avenue & Avenue H
Brooklyn, NY 11210
(718) 780-5925 (O)
(718) 780-5019 (O)
(718) 783-5536 (H)

Jack Clark, Ph.D.
30 Gardner Road, #4B
Brookline, MA 02146
(617) 734-6077 (H)

Janice Cohen, M.D. 1369 4th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122 (415) 661-0719

Michael L. Commons, Ph.D. 234 Huron Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138-1328 (617) 497-5270 (O) (617) 547-0837 (H) (617) 491-5270 Facsimile Commons@tiac.net MCommons@warren.med.harvard.edu

Douglas Conte, M.D. 3336 Golfcrest Drive Oceanside, CA 92056 (909) 425-7762

William Cox, M.D.
72 Union Street
Bridgewater, MA 02324
(508) 697-5079

Rodney Deaton, M.D., J.D. 4049 Gateway Court Indianapolis, IN 46254

Kenneth Duckworth, M.D. MMHC - Extension 113

John Dundas, M.D. MMHC - Extension 628

James Feldman
James Feldman, M.D.
MMHC Extension 446

Michael Feldman, M.D. 255 West 22nd Street, #1A New York, NY 10011 (212) 242-1869

Jeffrey Mark Fliesser, M.D.
31 Huntville Road Grant
Katonah, NY 10536
(914) 232-2317

Ken Galen, M.D. 401 Buckminster Drive, #T6 Norwood, MA (617) 769-7235

James F. Gilligan, M.D. 21 Berkeley Street Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 441-3055; (617) 441-8760, Fax

Marcus J. Goldman, M.D. 138 Peakham Road Sudbury, MA 01776 (508) 443-1990 (H) (508) 831-7710 (O)

Doug Goldman, Ph.D. 1070 West Street Wrentham, MA 02093 (508) 384-8359

Eric Goodheart
63 Dimick Street
Somerville, MA 02143
432-3156 (W)
625-6330
Goodhear@fas.harvard.edu

Thomas G. Gutheil, M.D.
6 Wellman Street
Brookline, MA 02146
MMHC - Ext. 476
(617) 734-9519 (H)(A.M.)
(617) 738-1736 Facsimile
TGutheil@
warren.med.harvard.edu

Kate Hall, M.D.
1654 Opie Avenue
Solon, IA 52333
(319) 644-3972 H
Continuing Medical Education
The University of Iowa College
of Medicine
(319) 335-8598

Robert Hamm, Ph.D.
Department of Family Medicine
University of Oklahoma
Health Sciences Center
800 NE 13th Street
Oklahoma, OK 73104
E-Mail Rob Hamm fe000kso@
macpo.net.uokhsc.edu

Mark J. Hauser, M.D. 16 Converse Avenue Newton, MA 02158 (617) 969-6331 (H) (617) 979-2331 (ans serv) MJH@psychiatry.com http://www.psychiatry.com

Patrician M. L. Illingworth, Pd.D. Department of Philosophy Northeastern University

96 Larchwood Drive Cambridge, MA 02138

Debbie Hoffer 354-1613

David Hoffman, M.D. 93 Manet Road Chestnut Hill, MA 02167 (617) 244-7767 (H) (617) 232-8363 (O) Kitty Howard, M.Ed. MMHC - Ext. 410

Jennifer Jezerski 64 Alderton Road Newton, MA (617) 332-0783 (H) (617) 469-0300 x206 (O)

Brian Johnson, M.D. 5 Park Place Newton, MA 02160 (617) 332-5611

Tony Kalinowski, Ph.D. 18 Payson Road Belmont, MA 02178 MMHC Extension 494 (617)-489-2778

Eric Kaplan (303) 673-9900 1-800-842-HOPE

Bernice Kelly, Psy.D. 95 Union Street Norwood, MA 02062 (617) 769-5910 (617) 762-2846 Facsimile

Juan La Llave, M.A., ABD.
P.O. Box 381829
Cambridge, MA 02238-1829
(617) 562-3986 (Beeper)
(617) 782-0499
(617) 782-0499 Fax
LaLlave@tiac.net
JLaLlave@Pobox.Harvard.edu

William Land, M.D. 506 Parker Street Newton, MA 02150 (617) 332-9606 (617) 673-2388 Beeper

Susanne Lee, LISCW 31 Oak Street, Suit 3 Boston, MA 02111 426-5677 Home 278-3652 Work 338-4810 Work Ellen Lewy 110 Concord Road Wayland, MA 01778 (508) 358-3576

Raul E. Lopez, M.D. 613 Derry Park Drive Middleboro, MA 02346 (508) 946-4851 (H) 673-2484 Beeper

Raul E. Lopez, M.D.
Calle #2, J-4
Urb. Santa, Paula
Guagnabo, Puerto Rico 00969
(809) 720-8123 - Home
(809) 767-9213, 14, 15 - Work
(809) 780-7408 - Father

Experanze Mendendez
Calle #3 R-1 Fee
Ext. La Miagrosa
Bayamon, PR 00959
Beeper 1-809-250-0140 #61267

Donald J. Meyer, M.D. 124 Mount Auburn Street, S 440 Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 489-4137 H (617) 491-6868 W

Ellena Michnik, M.D. 16 Henshaw Terrace Newton, MA 02165 (617) 964-4642

Edwin Mikkelsen, M.D. 67 Yarmouth Road Wellesley Hills, MA 02181 (617) 237-7234 (617) 538-5006 car Patrice Marie Miller, Ed.D.
Department of Psychology
Salem State College
362 Lafayette Street
Salem, MA 01970-4589
(617) 497-5270, (508) 741-6457, (617) 491-5270 fax
pmiller@mecn.mass.edu

Research Associate
Department of Psychiatry
Harvard Medical School
Massachusetts Mental Health
Center
74 Fenwood Road
Boston, MA 02115-6196

Debra S. Morley, M.A.

11 Englewood Road, Suite 5

Brookline, MA 02146

(617) 277-3183

(617) 266-8800

(338, 338)

dsmorley@acs.bu.edu

Stanley J. Morse, Ph.D. 37 Harland Road Waltham, MA 02154 617-642-0500 Morse@psych.com

Donna Norris, M.D.
54 Cartwright Road
Wellesley, MA 02181
(617) 437-1777 Extension 403
(617) 437-6426 Facsimile
(617) 237-4390 Home
Norris.Donna@pcsonline.org
Dnorris

Roderick W. Pettis, J.D., M.D. 3569 Sacramento Street San Francisco, CA 94118 (415) 441-5716 (415) 452-1368 Fax

127 Withington Road Newton, MA 02158 Barbara Phillips, Ph.D.
Department of Mental Health
160 North Washington Street
Boston, MA 02114
(617) 727-1464

271 Valley Street Pembroke, MA 02359 (617) 293-7571 (H)

Debra Pinsky, M.D.
Bridgewater Program
Bridgewater State Hospital
10 Administration Road
Bridgewater, MA 02324
1-508-697-8161, 388
1-508-488-6295, Beeper
Needham, MA

Lloyd Price, M.D. 152 Holden Wood Road Concord, MA 01742 (508) 369-1869 (508) 371-2593 Fax

Ruth Anne Putnam, Ph.D. Department of Philosophy Wellesley College 106 Central Street Wellesley, MA 02181

Barney Michael Rabin, Barney Rabin, Company 14 Central Avenue Marblehead, MA (617) 631-3598 (617) 631-3631

Jennifer Redden Harvard University 79 JFK Street Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 495-1100

Joseph A. Rodriguez, Ed.D.
MCI Concord
Box Office 000 Concord, MA

16 Goodale Street Marlboro, MA 01752 (508) 485-9269, Home (617) 727-1950, 433 (O) In P. Rumpf, Ph.D.
Inion Street
When Centre, MA 02159
12-8998 (W)
14-0483 (H)
Inhd@aol.com
Spie5743@aol.com

Innald Schouten, J.D., M.D. Missachusetts General Hospital Fuit Street Soton, MA 02114 (17) 726-2990, 5924 or 3591

5 Fairfax Street West Newton, MA 02165 (17) 244-2363

Wen Schulte, M.D. 13-7774-Home

by Andrew Schultz-Ross, M.D. Swaii State Hospital 6-710 Kesahala Road Incohe, HI 96744-3597 (88) 236-8485 (88) 282-0821 Ans. serv.

inias Sepulveda, M.D.

Maple Road

Middleboro, MA 02346

(08) 583-4500 ext. 1501, 1214

(08) 947-1793

Rockson VA

Muglas D. Smith, M.D. Musii State Hospital M-710 Keaahala Road Moohe, HI 96744-3597 M8) 586-2900 MX (808) 586-2940

7 Sohn, J.D., (M.D. 5/95)
10 Nahanton Street
12 Sulph Center, MA 02159
13-7228 (H)

Gerhard Sonnert, Ph.D. Department of Physics Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138 (617) 495-4475

16 Chauncy Street Cambridge, MA 02138 Gerhard@huhepl.harvard.edu

Larry Strasburger, M.D. 527 Concord Avenue Relmont, MA 02178 (617) 484-8271 (Office & Fax) (617) 484-2892 (H)

Gerald Sweet, Ph.D.

Julie Van der Feen, M.D. C.M.
63 Washington StreetWellesley, 02181
(617) 431-1864
(617) 855-3828 Voice Mail
508-488-6295 Beeper
Tuesday Morning.

Ralph Warren, Jr. Ph.D. Evergreen Washington

Mark Warren, M.D. 2661 Euclid Heights Boulevard Cleveland Heights, OH 44106 (216) 932-2343

Harvey S. Waxman, Ph.D. 29 Ashmont Road Waban, MA 02168 (617) 244-9410 Phone and Fax HSWaxman@Juno.com

Nancy Weiss, J.D. 19 Hancock Street Somerville, MA 02144 (617) 629-0251 David N. Weisstub, M.D.
Centre de Recherche
Universite de Montreal
Place du Canada, Bureau 2260
Montreal Quebec
Canada H3B 2N2
(514) 875-2620
(514) 875-0389 - Fax
Weisstub c/o Shelly Kath
BNGJ@musicb.mcgill.ca

James Whalen, M.D. 132 Old River Road Suites 206-208 Lincoln, RI 02865 (401) 333-3840 - W (401) 544-1850 - Beeper (401) 334-9406 home

Sezanna V. Zimmer, M.D. 11 Garrison Road, #4 Brookline, MA 02146 (617) 734-1300 - W (617) 566-5551 - home

04:10:12-06-96-20 (Wednesday, June 12, 1996; 10:04 am)

Carol Adler

Practice Parameters for the Forensic Evaluation of Children and Adolescents Who May Have Been Physically or Sexually Abused

ABSTRACT

These practice parameters describe the forensic evaluation of children and adolescents who may have been physically or sexually abused. The recommendations are drawn from guidelines that have been published by various professional organizations and authors and are based on available scientific research and the current state of clinical practice. These parameters consider the clinical presentation of abused children, normative sexual behavior of children, interview techniques, the possibility or taise statements, the assessment of credibility, and important forensic issues. These parameters were approved by Council of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychlatry in September 1996. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry, 1997, 36(3):423–442. Key Words: child abuse, sexual abuse, forensic, evaluation, practice parameters.

Individuals in private practice, as well as those employed by courts or other agendies, see children who may have been mentally, physically, or sexually abused. There are three distinct roles for them forensic evaluator; clinician, who is conducting mental health assessments and providing treatment; and consultant regarding public policy.

Working as a forensic evaluator, the practitioner may evaluate children in a private practice for a forensic purpose, evaluate children and collaborate with other mental health professionals in a government agency such as protective

services, or work with an interdisciplinary team at a pediatric medical center. He or she may assist the court in determining, what happened to the child, make recommendations regarding placement or treatment, or offer an opinion of the termination of parental rights. A forensic evaluation may involve critiquing the work that was previously done by another mental health professional or by a protective services investigator. The forensic evaluation may be used in a civil suit in which the child is a plaintiff seeking remuneration for damages related to the abuse. The evaluator may be asked to testify in a juvenile court (regarding the issue of abuse and neglect), in a civil court (if a civil suit is being pursued), or in a criminal court (if the alleged perpetrator comes to trial).

Working as clinicians, mental health professionals may provide assessments and treatment for abused children and their families in both outpatient and inpatient acttings. Many psychiatric hospitals and residential treatment centers have specialized programs for abused children and adolescents. There are also programs for adolescent perpetrators of sexual abuse, many of whom were also victims of sexual abuse.

Mental health professionals may deal with these issues on the level of public policy by sharing information with and educating attorneys and judges about the psychiatric aspects of abuse and the developmental needs of children (Goldstein et al., 1973, 1979). In some states, clinicians have helped thape the laws that control how the legal system deals with abused children, including the criteria for reporting abuse and the methods of evaluation and procedures for hearing the child's certimony.

There are some differences in the method of evaluating children who may have been abused, depending on whether

Principal Author: William Bernet, M.D.

These parameters were developed by the Work County on Quality lines. William Acres, M.D., and John E. Dunne, M.D., Chairmen, Membere Elisa Benerick, M.D., Caul A. Bernttein, M.D., Fita Brown, M.D., Richard L. Grow M.D., Robert King, M.D., Henricha femand, M.D., William Ligamele. M.D. Jun McClellan, M.D.; and Knibe Shum, M.D. Technical extrinence. Paid Farling, M.D. AACAP Staff, Many Graham, Letter Seigle, Carolin A. Heier Michelle E. Winglis, and Diane Wiegand, R.M. Consultants and other individual, who commensed on a deaft of these parameters included Peser Ada, M.D., Barbara W. Bour, Ph.D., Seephen Cees, Ph.D., David L. Corusa. M.D. Cares P. DeAntama, M.D., Ander P. Derdeyn, M.D., Phillip W. Explin. Ed.D., Mark D. Lierson. Ph. D., Daniel M.A. Freeman, M.D., Richard Gardier, M.D., Guil S. Goodman, Ph.D., Limence Harrison, M.D., L. Ronald Holler, M.D., Stephen Herman, M.D., William Kenner, Al.D., Barry Vanumbr. M.D. Erns Oligina. McD., Alica A. Rusafeld, M.D., Disse Schetty, M.D., Fredry Salaman, M.D., Sidney Werkman, M.D., and Alexae Torri M D

A draft of those parameters was distributed to the enter AACAP membership for summents. The parameters were approved by the AACAP Council on August 22, 1996.

Reprint requests to AACAP Public Information, 3G15 Wittensin Avenue, VW Wathington, DC 20016.

0390-8567/97/3603-0423303:00/0©1997 by the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiater.

This opinion does not address contractual assignments of liability between employers or in research arrangements, nor does it address government indemnification plans. (II)

Issued June 1992.

1.01

Updated June 1994.

Informed Consent. The patient's right of self-decision can be effectively exercised only if the patient possesses enough information to enable an intelligent choice. The petient should make his or her own determination on treatment. The physician's obligation is to present the medical facts accurately to the patient or a the individual responsible for the patient's care and to make recommendations for management in accordance with good medical practice. The physician has an ethical obligation to help the patient make choices from among the therapeutic alternatives consistent with good medical practice. Informed consent is a basic social policy for which exceptions are permitted; (1) where the patient is thesescious or otherwise incapable of consenting and harm from failure to treat is imminent; or (2) when risk-disclosure poses such a serious psychological thread d detriment to the perient as to be medically contraindicated. Social policy does as accept the paternalistic view that the physician may remain allest because divulgence might prompt the patient to forgo needed therapy. Rational, informed patients should not be expected to act uniformly, even under similar circumstances, in agreeing to or refusing treatment. (I, II, III, IV, V)

Issued March 1981.

W. Va. 1995 West Virginia Board of Medicine received a complaint from patient that physician was using depossession treatment. The hearing exerciner in part found that there had been a left of informed consent for such treatment. The Board changed the examiner's report and added sanctions. In doing so, it quoted Opinion 8.08. On appeal, the circuit court reversed, finding the Board's order arbitrary and an abuse of discretion. The appellate court agreed that the Board abused its discretion, but remanded the case for consideration of the issue of informed custoff depossession treatment. Modil v. West Virginia Board of Medicine, 465 S.E.2d 230, 236.

Journal 1995 Observes that physicians are mable to obtain informed consent because they as not guess which treatment alternatives will best serve an individual patient's interest. Sugast that this situation would be improved if patients were paired with physicians who share their personal values. Quotes Opinion 8.08. Vanich, Abandoning Informed Connent, 25 Hastings Court Rep. 3, 6 (March/April 1995).

Journal 1994 Discusses how physicians historically have taken too much livease with points' bodies and placed greater value on longwity than on quality of life. Argues that patients should be the ultimate declarournakers in matters that affect their lives. Suggests that greater emplois should be given to physician disclosure obligations in order to improve the quality of patient consent. Quotes Opinion 8.07 (1981) [now Opinion 8.08]. Katz, Informed Consent — Mat h. Remain a Fairy Tale? 10 J. Consemp. Health L. & Pol'y 69, 80 (1994).

Jeannal 1994 Reviews the evolution of the physician-patient relationship. Describes legal responses to increasing awareness of the importance of ensuring patient autonomy. Examina the changing health care delivery environment. Concludes with a discussion of the impact of these changes on patient participation in medical decision making. Quotes Presmble, Principle I, II, III, IV, V, and VI, Fundamental Elements (i) and (2), and Opiniona 1.02 and 8.07 (1911)

Understanding Bizarre, Improbable, and Fantastic Elements in Children's Accounts of Abuse

Mark D. Everson
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Children's accounts of abuse sometimes contain descriptions of events that sum bicarre, improbable, or even impossible. This article contributes to an objective analysis of child allegations of abuse by offering 24 possible explanations (with illustrative case examples) for such statements. The central thesis of this discussion is that the existence of improbable or fantastic elements in a child's account theule not result in an automatic dismissal of the child's report without consideration of the possible mechanisms underlying the fantastic material.

In the past decade, a number of widely publicized cases of alleged day care abuse have called attention to a saidom acknowledged phenomenon in the field of child abuse—that is, that children's accounts of abuse sometimes contain descriptions of events that seem bizarre, improbable, or even impossible. In a number of cases, especially those involving young children, the accounts of abuse seem to contain the stuff of fantasy (or perhaps nightmares) rather than the credible, internally consistent, plausible descriptions of abuse that professionals are trained to expect in bons fide cases of abuse.

Although this problem may be more prevalent and more severe in multivictim, multiperpetrator cases involving preschool-aged children, it is certainly not confined to such cases. As an example, we recently evaluated a bright, articulate 4-year-old whose otherwise credible account of abuse was marred by his claim that the perpetrator had cut off the boy's penis, then reattached it. Many clinicians have been reluctant to report such elements in children's disclosures lest a child's account, which might otherwise be considered credible, not be believed. In addition, some clinicians have been actively discouraged from documenting such statements as a part of the public record. (In the words of one district attorney to a colleague, "Your

report was fine until you mentioned the mask and the candles.")

Evaluating allegations of abuse involving bizarre, implausible, and fantastic accounts by children is one of the most difficult forensic and clinical challenges confronting the field today. If Parts E and F of a child's account of abuse are difficult, if not impossible, to believe, how much credence should the evaluator give. Parts A. B. and C? In a survey of child protective. services (CPS) investigations, Everson and Boat (1989) found that, after recantation by the child, the most frequent reason for judging a child's report of sexual abuse to be false was the existence of improbable elements in the child's disclosure. This issue not only affects the individual case but also has far-reaching implications for the credibility of the field and the weight we have traditionally placed on the alleged victim's statement.

Dalenberg (1996) has published the only study of the incidence of fantastic elements in children's disclosures of sexual abuse. Her sample included 644 children ages 3 to 17 who had made disclosures of sexual abuse during videotaped forensic interviews. Half of the children comprised a highly certain, or "gold standard," sexually abused group (i.e., the perpetrator had confessed and condustve medical evidence of abose had been found), and the remaining half included "questionable" cases in which corroborating evidence for abuse was lacking. Dalenberg defined fantastic elements as either highly implausable or impossible events or gross exaggerations of a plausible event. Fantastic elements were found in the accounts of 12 children for an overall base rate across age, sex, and race of about 2%. Most of these statements (n = 10) occurred among 5- to 9-year-olds in the gold standard subgroup, with 7% of the children in

CHILD MALTREATMENT, Vol. 2, No. 2, May 1997 154-149 to 1997 Sage Publications, Inc.

Special Article

On Wearing Two Hats: Role Conflict in Serving as Both Psychotherapist and Expert Witness

Larry H. Strasburger, M.D., Thomas G. Gutheil, M.D., and Archie Brodsky, B.A.

Objective: This article explores the clinical, legal, and ethical problems that typically occur when a psychotherapist serves as both a treating clinician and forensic evaluator (or expert witness) in the same case. Method: The professional literature, ethics codes, opinion surveys, and the changing economic and institutional contexts of psychotherapy are reviewed in order to identify obstacles to widespread recognition of this straightforward ethical issue. The processes of psychotherapy and forensic evaluation are then analyzed so as to reveal fundamental incompatibilities between the psychotherapist's clinical and legal functions. Results: Attempting to treat and evaluate the same person typically creates an irreconcilable role conflict. This role conflict manifests itself in different conceptions of truth and causation, different forms of alliance, different types of assessment, and different ethical guidelines. Conclusions: Although circumstances sometimes compel a practitioner to assume the dual role of treater and evaluator, the problems that surround this practice argue for its avoidance whenever possible.

(Am J Psychiatry 1997; 154:448–456)

hould psychotherapists serve as expert witnesses for their patients? Psychotherapists of all discines need to confront the potential clinical, legal, and ical problems involved in combining the roles of ting clinician and forensic evaluator. As clinicians themselves drawn into proliferating, often ambiguly defined contacts with the legal system, clarity in definitions becomes crucial.

INITIONS

he term "therapist" refers to a clinician hired by the ent or the patient's family to provide psychothers therapists treat "patients" or "clients." A "fact ess" testifies as to direct observations that he or she made; a fact witness does not offer expert opinions raw conclusions from the reports of others. Thus, crapist who serves as a fact witness testifies as to

observations of the patient during therapy and the immediate conclusions (such as diagnosis and prognosis) drawn from those observations. These conclusions are offered not as an opinion but simply as a report of what the therapist thought, did, and documented during therapy.

An "expert witness" (who may also act as a forensic consultant) is a paid consultant who chooses to become involved in the case and is retained by an attorney, judge, or litigant to provide evaluation and testimony to aid the legal process. Unlike a fact witness, an expert may offer opinions about legal questions. This role typically involves participation in a trial. Forensic experts deal with "examinees" or "evaluees" rather than with patients or clients. They do not attempt to form a doctor-patient relationship with their subjects.

COMMON SCENARIOS

Several common scenarios may prompt a clinician to wear the two hats of treater and expert on behalf of the same person. A patient may have suffered a traumatic incident (such as a criminal assault or an automobile accident) during or before therapy, and litigation may ensue. A patient may become involved in child custody litigation. A referral may come from an attorney osten-

teived June 18, 1996; revision received Oct. 16, 1996; accepted 5, 1996. From the Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical II, Boston, Address reprint requests to Dr. Strasburger, 527 Convey, Belmont, MA 02178.

authors rhank Barbara Long, M.D., and Harold J. Bursztain. for their comments and annotations in support of this project lichael Robbins, M.D., Robert I. Simon, M.D., and Ezra Grif-I.D., for their review of the manuscript.

PROOF OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Pa. Rule of Appellate Procedure 2187(a), two copies of the within Brief of Amicus Curiae, Program in Psychiatry and the Law and the American Professional Society on the Abuse of Children in Support of Appellants, were served on the following counsel for Appellees, by the method indicated, on December 28, 1998:

Charles F. Scarlata, Esquire 1550 Koppers Building 435 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412-765-2855 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Martha E. Bailor, Esquire Greenfield Court 1035 Fifth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 412-261-4466 VIA HAND DELIVERY

Dennis A. Watson, Esquire

Counsel for Amicus Curiae, Program in Psychiatry and the Law and the American Professional Society on the Abuse of Children